Appl. No. 10/798,494 Amdt. dated January 29, 2007 Reply to Office action of August 28, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant has submitted herewith corrected drawings (replacement sheets) to show the side edges 15, 15 and 26, 26 of the first and second compartments and the fold line 13 which forms the closed end of the first compartment. It is believed these numbering additions do not constitute new matter but merely make clear what is inherent in the original disclosure which is a sleeve of thin flexible material which takes the shape of the object inserted in it as is shown in the two modifications, one being a rolled paper and the second a flat folded paper. In both instances the sleeve is the same but the insert has a somewhat different configuration.

The drawings are slightly exaggerated in that the paper would not be tightly rolled but would expand against the inside of the sleeve unless the paper were retained in a tight roll. The drawings do accurately depict the compartments and the package structure however. The enclosed drawings are labeled "Replacement Sheets" in accordance with office rules.

It is submitted that new claim 19 and claims 2, 3 and 9 which are dependent on 19 define over the Davoren reference. This structure is designed to hold a bulky specimen in a compartment having a labyrinthine opening to permit easy insertion of the bulky article. The overlapping of the labyrinthine flaps which form the opening is 30-70%. This permits easy insertion and easy removal of the article.

Applicant's second compartment is completely heat sealed closed adjacent its open end and can only be opened by tearing the heat seal. Furthermore the heat seal is past the outboard end of the first compartment so that the second compartment can readily be heat sealed after the item is placed in the second compartment without affecting the first compartment. This allows an advertising insert to be inserted and sealed in the second compartment by the bag maker and the flat bags can be easily

Page 5 of 6

Appl. No. 10/798,494 Amdt. dated January 29, 2007 Reply to Office action of August 28, 2006

shipped to a newspaper vendor for insertion of a paper in the first compartment and ready delivery in his established route.

The aforementioned structural differences are set out in claim 19 and the claims 19 and 2-9 are believed allowable over Davoren and the other cited references.

Respectfully submitted,

William G. Bruns, Reg. No. 19,541

Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi L.C.

12412 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63131

PH (314) 238-2400

F (314) 238-2401